

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/890,006	10/25/2001	Bruce H. Morimoto	5412/1E887US2	4547
7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Darby & Darby			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022-7513			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/890,006	MORIMOTO ET AL.				
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit				
0.	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the condition of the con	divisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	· ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	.g a control of an ing manner of the	many rejected didime.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
<ul><li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li></ul>		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 22-24</u> .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr		ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		15 kahr				
	,	Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The extensive amendments to claims 1, 16 and 19 and the added claims 25 and 26 require further consideration and possibly an additional search.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner Group 1600